arXiv:1510.08330v1 [math.AC] 28 Oct 2015

Common slot lemmas in characteristic 2

Constantin-Nicolae Beli*

Abstract

The Hilbert symbol $(\cdot,\cdot)$ from characteristic $\neq 2$ has two analogues in characteristic 2, $[\cdot,\cdot)$ and $((\cdot,\cdot))$.

The well known common slot lemma from characteristic $\neq 2$ (see e.g. [T, Theorem 4.4 and the following Remark 3)]), which involves the symbol $(\cdot,\cdot)$ has three analogues in characteristic 2. Two of these analogues involve the symbol $[\cdot,\cdot)$ and one involves $((\cdot,\cdot))$. Of these three analogues so far only one has been considered. (See [D, §14, Theorem 7], where it is stated as an exercise.) In this paper we state and prove the remaining two analogues.

Keywords: quaternion algebras, chain lemma, common slot lemma
MSC: 16K20, 11E04, 16K50

1 The symbols $(\cdot,\cdot)$, $[\cdot,\cdot)$ and $((\cdot,\cdot))$. Definition and basic properties

If A is a central division algebra over a field F then we denote by $[A]$ its class in the Brauer group $(\mathrm{Br}(F),+)$. We have $[A]+[B]=[A\otimes B]$. We also denote by ${}_2\,\mathrm{Br}(F)$ the 2-torsion of $\mathrm{Br}(F)$.

*Supported by by the Romanian IDEI project PCE 2012-4-364 of the Ministry of National Education CNCS-UEFISCIDI

We denote by $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_n\rangle$ the diagonal quadratic form $a_1X_1^2+\cdots+a_nX_n^2$, and by $[a, b]$ the binary quadratic form $aX^2 + XY + bY^2$.

If $\operatorname{char} F \neq 2$ then we have the Hilbert symbol

$$(\cdot,\cdot) : \dot{F}/\dot{F}^2 \times \dot{F}/\dot{F}^2 \to {}_2\operatorname{Br}(F)$$

. If $a, b \in \dot{F}$ then $(a, b) := [Q_{(a,b)}]$, where $Q_{(a,b)}$ is the quaternion algebra generated by $1, i, j, ij$, with the relations $i^2 = a$, $j^2 = b$, $ij + ji = 0$. The norm map $N : Q_{(a,b)} \to F$ is given by $Q_{(a,b)}(X + Yi + Zj + Tij) = X^2 - aY^2 - bZ^2 + abT^2$ so $(Q_{(a,b)}, N) \cong \langle 1, -a, -b, ab\rangle$ relative to the basis $1, i, j, ij$.

If $\operatorname{char} F = 2$ then, besides $(\dot{F}/\dot{F}^2, \cdot)$, we also have the groups $(F/F^2, +)$ and $(F/\wp(F), +)$, where $\wp : F \to F$ is the Artin-Schreier map, $\wp(x) = x^2+x$. Then we define two symbols:

$$[\cdot,\cdot) : F/\wp(F) \times \dot{F}/\dot{F}^2 \to {}_2\operatorname{Br}(F),$$

is given by $[a, b) = [Q_{[a,b)}]$, where $Q_{[a,b)} = \langle 1, i, j, ij\rangle$, with the relations $i^2 + i = a$, $j^2 = b$ and $ij + ji = j$. The norm map $N : Q_{[a,b)} \to F$ is given by $Q_{[a,b)}(X + Yi + Zj + Tij) = X^2 + XY + aY^2 + b(Z^2 + ZT + aT^2)$ so $(Q_{(a,b)}, N) \cong [1, a] \perp b[1, a]$ relative to the basis $1, i, j, ij$.

$$((\cdot,\cdot)) : F/F^2 \times F/F^2 \to {}_2\operatorname{Br}(F),$$

is given by $((a, b)) = [Q((a, b))]$, where $Q_{((a,b))} = \langle 1, i, j, ij\rangle$ with the relations $i^2 = a$, $j^2 = b$ and $ij + ji = 1$. The norm map $N : Q_{((a,b))} \to F$ is given by $Q_{(a,b)}(X + Yi + Zj + Tij) = X^2 + XT + abT^2 + aY^2 + YZ + bZ^2$ so $(Q_{((a,b))}, N) \cong \langle [1, ab] \perp [a, b]$ relative to the basis $1, ij, i, j$.

The symbols $(\cdot,\cdot)$, $[\cdot,\cdot)$ and $((\cdot,\cdot))$ are bilinear, $(\cdot,\cdot)$ is symmetric and $((\cdot,\cdot))$ is anti-symmetric. In particular, because of the 2-torsion, $((\cdot,\cdot))$ is also symmetric. (We have $((a, b)) = -((b, a)) = ((b, a))$.)

The symbols $[\cdot,\cdot)$ and $((\cdot,\cdot))$ are related by the relations $((a, b)) = [ab, b)$ if $b \neq 0$ and $[a, 0) = 0$.

Note that, unlike $(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $[\cdot,\cdot)$, the symbol $((\cdot,\cdot))$ is not very well known and used. Most authors simply write $[ab,b)$ instead of $((a,b))$. Also the notation $((\cdot,\cdot))$ is not universally accepted. For properties of $((\cdot,\cdot))$ see e.g. [EKM, §98.E], where $((a,b))$ is denoted by $\left[\frac{a,b}{F}\right]$.

2 Common slot lemma

In characteristic $\neq 2$ we have the following result known as "chain lemma" or "common slot Lemma".

Theorem 2.1 *Let Q be a quaternion algebra over a field F with* $\operatorname{char} F \neq 2$. *If $[Q] = (a_1,b_1) = (a_2,b_2)$ then there is $b \in \dot{F}$ such that $[Q] = (a_1,b) = (a_2,b)$.*

The chain lemma has three analogues in characteristic 2.

Theorem 2.2 *Let Q be a quaternion algebra over a field F with* $\operatorname{char} F = 2$.

(i) If $[Q] = [a_1,b_1) = [a_2,b_2)$ then there is $b \in \dot{F}$ such that $[Q] = [a_1,b) = [a_2,b)$.

(ii) If $[Q] = [a_1,b_1) = [a_2,b_2)$ then there is $a \in F$ such that $[Q] = [a,b_1) = [a,b_2)$.

(iii) If $[Q] = ((a_1,b_1)) = ((a_2,b_2))$ then there is $a \in F$ such that $[Q] = ((a,b_1)) = ((a,b_2))$.

For the proof of these statements we use notations and results from [SV, Chapter 1]. We denote by $N_Q : Q \to F$ the norm map of Q and by b_Q the corresponding polar map, $b_Q(x,y) = N_Q(x+y) - N_Q(x) - N_Q(y)$.

In both Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we may assume that Q is non-split, i.e. (Q,N_Q) is unisotropic since otherwise $Q \cong M_2(F)$ so $[Q] = 0$. Then in Theorem 2.1 amd 2.2(i) we may take $b = 1$ (we have $(a_i,1) = 0$ and $[a_i,1) = 0$, respectively) and in Theorem 2.2(ii) and (iii) we may take $a = 0$ (we have $[0,b_i) = 0$ and $((0,b_i)) = 0$, respectively).

Proof of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2(i). For $i = 1, 2$ we have $Q = \langle e, x_i, y_i, x_i y_i \rangle$, where in the case of (i) $x_i^2 = a_i e$, $y_i^2 = b_i e$ and $x_i y_i + y_i x_i = 0$ and in the case of (ii) $x_i^2 + x_i = a_i e$, $y_i^2 = b_i e$ and $x_i y_i + y_i x_i = y_i$. If $D_i = \langle e, x_i \rangle$ then D_i is a degree two extension of k, $D_i \cong k(\sqrt{a_i})$ in the case of (i) and $D_i \cong k(\wp^{-1}(a_i))$ in the case of (ii).

The othogonal complement of $\langle e, x_1, x_2 \rangle$ is $\neq 0$ so let $y \in \langle e, x_1, x_2 \rangle^{\perp}$, $y \neq 0$. We have $y \in D_i^{\perp}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and since N_Q is anisotropic we have $-b := N_C(y) \neq 0$. Then Q is obtained from D_i by the doubling process from [SV, Prposition 1.5.1], $Q = D_i \oplus D_i y$. Since $D_i \cong k(\sqrt{a_i})$ or $k(\wp^{-1}(a_i))$ and $N_Q(y) = -b$ we have $[Q] = (a_i, b)$ or $[a_i, b)$, respectively, for $i = 1, 2$. $\square$

For Theorem 2.2(ii) and (iii) we need the following result.

Lemma 2.3 *Let C be a composition algebra over a field F of characteristic 2 and let $b_1, b_2 \in k$. If there are $y_1, y_2 \in e^{\perp} \setminus Fe$ with $N_C(y_i) = b_i$ then such y_1, y_2 can be chosen with the additional property that $y_1 \not\perp y_2$.*

*Proof.*Assume that $y_1 \perp y_2$. First we prove that there is some $u \in C$ with $u \perp e$ but $u \not\perp y_1, y_2$. If e, y_1, y_2 are not linearly independent then $y_2 = \alpha e + \beta y_1$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in F$, $\beta \neq 0$. (We have $y_1, y_2 \notin Fe$.) By the regularity of b_C there is some $u \in C$ with $b_C(u, e) = 0$ and $b_C(u, y_1) = 1$. Then we also have $b(u, y_2) = \beta \neq 0$ so $u \perp e$ and $u \not\perp y_1, y_2$. If e, y_1, y_2 are linearly independent then, again by the regularity of b_C, there is $u \in C$ with $b_C(u, e) = 0$ and $b_C(u, y_1) = b_C(u, y_2) = 1$ and again $u \perp e$ and $u \not\perp y_1, y_2$. If $N_C(u) = 0$ then let $u' = u + e$. Since $e \perp e, y_1, y_2$ and $u \perp e$, $u \not\perp y_1, y_2$ we have $u' \perp e$, $u' \not\perp y_1, y_2$. However $N_C(u') = N_C(u) + N_C(e) = 1 \neq 0$. So we may assume that $N_C(u) \neq 0$ and we may consider the orthogonal transvection $\sigma \in O(N_C)$ given by $x \mapsto x + b_c(u, x) N_C(u)^{-1} u$. Let $y_2' = \sigma(y_2) = y_2 + b_c(u, y_2) N_C(u)^{-1} u$. We have $N_C(y_2') = N_C(y_2) = b_2$, $b_C(y_2', e) = b_C(y_2, e) + b_c(u, y_2) N_C(u)^{-1} b_C(u, e) = 0$ and $b_C(y_2', y_1) = b_C(y_2, y_1) + b_c(u, y_2) N_C(u)^{-1} b_C(u, y_1) = b_c(u, y_2) N_C(u)^{-1} b_C(u, y_1) \neq 0$. So

$y_2' \perp e$ but $y_2' \not\perp y_1$. Thus y_1, y_2' satisfy all the required conditions. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.2(ii) and (iii). As seen from §1, for $i = 1, 2$, in the case of (i) we have $(Q, N_Q) \cong [1, a_i] \perp b_i[1, a_i]$ relative to some basis $e, x_i, y_i, x_i y_i$; and in the case of (ii) we have $N_Q \cong [1, a_i b_i] \perp [a_i, b_i]$ relative to some basis $e, x_i y_i, x_i, y_i$. In both cases $N_Q(y_i) = b_i$ and $e \perp y_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. We also have $y_i \notin Fe$. Then we can use Lemma 2 and we can choose $y_1, y_2 \in e^\perp \setminus Fe$ such that $N_Q(y_i) = b_i$ with the additional property that $y_1 \not\perp y_2$. We cannot have $y_2 \in \langle e, y_1 \rangle$ for this would imply that $y_2 \perp y_1$. Thus e, y_1, y_2 are linear independent. We now treat separately the statements (ii) and (iii) of Theoren 2.2.

(ii) By the regularity of b_Q there is some $x \in Q$ with $b_Q(x, e) = 1$ and $b_C(x, y_1) = b_C(x, y_2) = 0$. Let $a = N_Q(x)$. Since $b_Q(x, e) = 1$, by [SV, Proposition 1.2.3] we have $x^2 + x + ae = 0$. Thus $D = \langle e, x \rangle$ is a field extension of F, $D \cong F(\wp^{-1}(a))$. Since $y_i \perp e, x$, so $y_i \in D^\perp$, and $N_Q(y_i) = b_i \neq 0$ we have by the doubling process of [SV, Proposition 1.5.1] $Q = D \oplus Dy_i$ and $[Q] = [a, b_i)$.

(iii) By [SV, Proposition 1.2.3] we have $y_i^2 + b_i e = 0$, i.e. $y_i^2 = b_i e$. Also $\overline{y_i} = y_i$. By the regularity of b_Q there is some $x \in Q$ with $b_Q(x, e) = 0$ and $b_C(x, y_1) = b_C(x, y_2) = 1$. By [SV, (1.3)] we have $b_Q(xy_i, y_i) = b(x, e)N_Q(y_i) = 0$ and by [SV, Lemma 1.3.2] we have $b_Q(xy_i, e) = b_Q(x, \overline{y_i}) = b_Q(x, y_i) = 1$. So e, xy_i, y_i are in the same situation as e, x, y_i were in the proof of (ii). Since $N_Q(xy_i) = N_Q(x)N_Q(y_i) = ab_i$ and $N_Q(y_i) = b_i$ we have $[Q] = [ab_i, b_i) = ((a_i, b_i))$. $\square$

Remark 1 The proof of the common slot lemma in characteristic $\neq 2$ (our Theorem 2.1) which we provided here is essentially the same as the one from [T, Theorem 4.4 and the following Remark 3)]. The fact that the proof of Theorem 2.2(i) goes on the same lines shows that Theorem

2.2(i) is the "correct" analogue of the common slot theorem in characteristic 2. The result stated in [D, §14, Theorem 7] is our Theorem 2.2(ii). The author leaves this result as an exercise, pointing to Tate's paper for the characteristic $\neq 2$ case. Perhaps by mistake he stated the "wrong" analogue, which turns out to be true but by a proof that is not similar to the one from characteristic $\neq 2$.

Remark 2 One may prove the common slot lemma in characteristic $\neq 2$ by using only the quadratic space structure on Q, and ignoring the algebraic structure. That is, we use the information that $(Q, N_Q) \cong \langle 1, -a_1, -b_1, a_1b_1\rangle \cong \langle 1, -a_2, -b_2, a_2b_2\rangle$ to prove that there is some $b \in \dot{F}$ such that $(Q, N_Q) \cong \langle 1, -a_1, -b, a_1b\rangle \cong \langle 1, -a_2, -b, a_2b\rangle$. This works very nice in characteristic $\neq 2$. We can do the same for Theorem 2.2 but the proof would be longer and messy.

References

[D] P. K. Draxl, "Skew Fields", London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. 81.

[EKM] Richard Elman, Nikita Karpenko and Alexander Merkurjev, "The Algebraic and Geometric Theory of Quadratic Forms", AMS Colloquim Publications, vol. 56.

[SV] Tonny A. Springer and Ferdinand D. Veldkamp, "Octonions, Jordan Algebras and Exceptional Groups", Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2000.

[T] John Tate, "Relations between K_2 and Galois Cohomology", Inventiones math. 36, 257-274 (1976).

Institute of Mathematics Simion Stoilow of the Romanian Academy, Calea Grivitei 21, RO-010702 Bucharest, Romania.

E-mail address: Constantin.Beli@imar.ro